

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/006,545	NAIR ET AL.
	Examiner Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	Art Unit 1714

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Lynn Blank.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2004

Time: 1130

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative authorized examiners amendment, which would remove attorney docket number from the specification and correct Markush language in claims 2, 4, 5, 11, 17, 19, 34, 35, 43, which amendment would where required change terms "comprising" to "consisting of" change where required "or" to "and" and where required add term "and".